Case 3:13-cv-05369-JD Document 1 Filed 08/13/13 Page 1 of 9



COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

Tammy Hussin, Esq. (Bar No. 155290) Lemberg & Associates, LLC 6404 Merlin Drive 3 Carlsbad, CA 92011 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT Telephone (855) 301-2100 ext. 5514 thussin@lemberglaw.com 5 Lemberg & Associates, LLC 1100 Summer Street 7 Stamford, CT 06905 Telephone: (203) 653-2250 8 Facsimile: (203) 653-3424 Attorneys for Plaintiff, 10 Kelly Gabel 11 12 . 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 CV13Case N5.895 JUG 16 Kelly Gabel, 17 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES 18 1. VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT 19 COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT. VS. 15 U.S.C. § 1692 ET. SEO.; 20 Performant Recovery, Inc.; and DOES 1-2. VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT 21 10, inclusive, COLLECTION PRATICES ACT, CAL.CIV.CODE § 1788 ET. SEQ. 22 Defendants. 23 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 24 25 26 27 28

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Kelly Gabel, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendants and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
 - 2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendants transact business here and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred here.

PARTIES

- 4. The Plaintiff, Kelly Gabel (hereafter "Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing at Brooksville, Florida, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 5. Defendant, Performant Recovery, Inc. ("Performant"), is a California business entity with an address of 818 West Seventh Street, Los Angeles, California 90017, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

- 6. Does 1-10 (the "Collectors") are individual collectors employed by Performant and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.
- 7. Performant at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

- 8. The Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation (the "Debt") to Citibank Student Loans (the "Creditor").
- 9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Performant for collection, or Performant was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 11. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. Performant Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

- 12. Beginning on or around September 2012, Plaintiff agreed to make monthly payments of \$50.00 to Performant in an effort to reduce the balance owing on the Debt.
- 13. Plaintiff made the payments as agreed and in an effort to more quickly satisfy the Debt, in February 2013, Plaintiff made a significantly larger payment in the amount of \$3,000.00.
- 14. Despite making monthly payments, Performant began to place calls to Plaintiff at an excessive and harassing rate on an almost daily basis, often times calling Plaintiff multiple times throughout each day, placing up to six (6) calls per day to Plaintiff's cellular telephone. The calls were annoying and instusive, especially insofar as Plaintiff had made a substantially higher payment.
- 15. Plaintiff spoke with Perfomant to object to the constant calls. Performant claimed that it had not received a payment from Plaintiff. Plaintiff advised Performant that he had made the \$3000 payment and that his bank reflected that Performant had in fact received the payment.
- 16. Performant demanded that Plaintiff provide them with proof of her \$3,000.00 payment. Plaintiff provided Performant with proof that the payment had been made; however, Performant continued to call Plaintiff at an excessive rate as aforementioned.

- 17. Even after providing Performant with proof of the payment, Performant continued to insist that the payment had not been paid. Plaintiff requested an accounting from Performant to evidence all the payments that had been made.
- 18. Performant agreed to provide Plaintiff with an accounting, but never did, and continued to call Plaintiff at an excessive rate as aforementioned.
- 19. In March, instead of the agreed upon payment of \$50, Plaintiff paid Performant the sum of \$1000. The calls from Performant nevertheless continued to come.
- 20. Plaintiff repeatedly requested the accounting of her payments, but was told she could not receive an accounting until she filled out new financial information.
- 21. Performant sent Plaintiff two letters on April 15, 3013, neither of which contained the promised accounting from Performant. The first letter confirmed the agreement for Plaintiff to pay \$50 per month and required nothing other than for Plaintiff to make the agreed upon payments. The second letter advised Plaintiff that she was required to send certain financial information if she wished to make voluntary payments.
- 22. Performant's two letters were conflicting and confusing, especially since Plaintiff had been making monthly payments for quite some time. Plaintiff advised Performant that she would make no payments until such time as an accounting was received.

23. Plaintiff repeatedly objected to the annoying calls and frequently requested that they stop. Plaintiff told Performant that the constant calls were interfering with her ability to work. Performant ignored Plaintiff's requests and the calls continued as aforementioned. Plaintiff ultimately was forced to seek the assistance of counsel to get the calls to stop.

<u>COUNT I</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT</u> 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

- 24. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 25. The Defendants engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d.
- 26. The Defendants caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5).
- 27. The Defendants used false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of a debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 28. The Defendants employed false and deceptive means to collect a debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10).

- 29. The Defendants used unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f.
- 30. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
- 31. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of the Defendants' violations.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against the Defendants:

- A. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against the Defendants;
- B. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A) against the Defendants;
- C. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C.§ 1692k(a)(3) against the Defendants;
- D. Actual damages from the Defendants for the all damages for the negligent FDCPA violations and intentional, reckless, and/or negligent invasions of privacy and intentional infliction of emotional distress in an amount to be determined at trial for the Plaintiff;

1	E. Punitive damages; and	
2	F. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.	
3	1. Such conci and inverse as may so just and propert	
4	TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS	
5		
6	DATED: August 8, 2013 TAMMY HUSSIN	
7		
8	April	
9	By: Tammy Hussin, Esq.	
10	Lemberg & Associates, LLC	
11	Attorney for Plaintiff, Kelly Gabel	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case 3:13-cv-05369-JD Document 1 Filed 08/13/13 Page 9 of 9

Tammy Hussin, Esq. Lemberg & Associates, LLC 6404 Merlin Drive Carlsbad, California 92011 Telephone: (855) 301-2100 Ext. 5514 Facsimile: (203) 653-3424

	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
Kelly Gabel PLAINTIFF(S) v. Performant Recovery, Inc.; and DOES 1-10,	CV13- 589514
inclusive, DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS
TO: DEFENDANT(S): Performant Recovery, Inc. A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached □ counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Tan address is 6404 Merlin Drive, Carlsbad, CA 92011. If you against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answerning Hussin, Esq., Lemberg & Associates, LLC, whose ou fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered
AUG 1 3 2013 Dated:	Clerk, U.S. District Court By:

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

CV-01A (12/07)